UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,055	01/15/2004	Tim A. Renfro	884.B24US2	5099
21186 7590 05/23/2007 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			EXAMINER	
			TRINH, MINH N	
MINNEAPOL	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 . ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER	
			3729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			_			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/758,055	RENFRO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Minh Trinh	3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periorallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become a	ICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	March 2007.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,17 and 31-33</u> is/are pending in the	he application.	·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-25 and 33</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-33</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	-					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	•				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	***				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attacht	ed Office Action of form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.				
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>						
application from the International Bure		Treceived in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ot received.				
	•					
Attachment(s)	A) 🗖 1-4 1-	Summon (PTO 412)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application				
S Patent and Trademark Office		·				

Application/Control Number: 10/758,055 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/14/07 has been entered.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 17 and 23 are objected to because of the following informalities:

"grove" (claim 17, line 6, claim 32, line 2) should have been:-- groove--.

"a socket housing" (claim 23, line 2) should have been changed to:-- in a socket

housing--. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17-22 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lai et al (5,833,483).

Lai et al disclose the product by process including the forming at least one groove in a socket housing 100 or 300 contiguous to a surface mount region for an electrical device 1, securing a rigid bar or shaft 200 within the groove to thereby ensure that the surface mount region is flat (see Figs. 2-4), wherein no portion of the rigid bar extends outside the at least one groove this is considered to be met by the Lai reference at well (refer to Fig. which shows that the shaft is being within the groove as slot 120 or 330

As applied to claims 18-19, refer to Lai's Fig. 4, which shows the groove is in form U shaped, and the rigid bar as rod 200.

As applied to claim 20, the Lai et al disclose the at least two grooves contiguous to socket housing (see Fig. 2).

As applied to claims 21-22, the Lai et al disclose the bar as actuator member 200 is a rod member.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3729

Claims 23-25 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lai et al (5,833,483).

Lai et al do not teach the U shaped groove being contiguous in three side of the socket. Regarding to this, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to choose any desired reinforcement member and grooves within the socket housing since applicant has not disclosed that the claimed U shaped grooves in three side of the surface mount region of the socket would solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the at least two U shaped grooves on the socket housing as taught by Lai et al.

As applied to claims 24-25, noting the Lai et al teach the limitations of claims 24-25 such as shaft 200 is a rod member.

As per claim 32, the Lai et al disclose the U-shaped grooves formed on each side of the socket etc.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 31 and 33 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4569. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mt 5/19/07

MARY EXAMINER